



Robin inequality for 7-free integers

Patrick Solé, Michel Planat

► To cite this version:

Patrick Solé, Michel Planat. Robin inequality for 7-free integers. *Integers: Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory*, 2011, 11, pp.#A65. hal-00541989

HAL Id: hal-00541989

<https://hal.science/hal-00541989>

Submitted on 1 Dec 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Robin inequality for 7-free integers

Patrick Solé

Michel Planat

Abstract

Recall that an integer is t -free iff it is not divisible by p^t for some prime p . We give a method to check Robin inequality $\sigma(n) < e^\gamma n \log \log n$, for t -free integers n and apply it for $t = 6, 7$. We introduce Ψ_t , a generalization of Dedekind Ψ function defined for any integer $t \geq 2$ by

$$\Psi_t(n) := n \prod_{p|n} (1 + 1/p + \cdots + 1/p^{t-1}).$$

If n is t -free then the sum of divisor function $\sigma(n)$ is $\leq \Psi_t(n)$. We characterize the champions for $x \mapsto \Psi_t(x)/x$, as primorial numbers. Define the ratio $R_t(n) := \frac{\Psi_t(n)}{n \log \log n}$. We prove that, for all t , there exists an integer $n_1(t)$, such that we have $R_t(N_n) < e^\gamma$ for $n \geq n_1$, where $N_n = \prod_{k=1}^n p_k$. Further, by combinatorial arguments, this can be extended to $R_t(N) \leq e^\gamma$ for all $N \geq N_n$, such that $n \geq n_1(t)$. This yields Robin inequality for $t = 6, 7$. For t varying slowly with N , we also derive $R_t(N) < e^\gamma$.

Keywords: Dedekind Ψ function, Robin inequality, Riemann Hypothesis, Primorial numbers

I. INTRODUCTION

The Riemann Hypothesis (RH), which describes the non trivial zeroes of Riemann ζ function has been deemed the Holy Grail of Mathematics by several authors [1], [7]. There exist many equivalent formulations in the literature [5]. The one of concern here is that of Robin [12], which is given in terms of $\sigma(n)$ the sum of divisor function

$$\sigma(n) < e^\gamma n \log \log n,$$

for $n \geq 5041$. Recall that an integer is t -free iff it is not divisible by p^t for some prime p . The above inequality was checked for many infinite families of integers in [3], for instance 5-free integers. In the present work we introduce a method to check the inequality for t -free integers for larger values of t and apply it to $t = 6, 7$. The idea of our method is to introduce the generalized Dedekind Ψ function defined for any integer $t \geq 2$ by

$$\Psi_t(n) := n \prod_{p|n} (1 + 1/p + \cdots + 1/p^{t-1}).$$

If $t = 2$ this is just the classical Dedekind function which occurs in the theory of modular forms [4], in physics [10], and in analytic number theory [9]. By construction, if n is t -free then the sum of divisors function $\sigma(n)$ is $\leq \Psi_t(n)$. To see this note that the multiplicative function σ satisfies for any integer a in the range $t > a \geq 2$

$$\sigma(p^a) = 1 + p + \cdots + p^a,$$

when the multiplicative function Ψ_t satisfies

$$\Psi_t(p^a) = p^a + \cdots + 1 + \cdots + 1/p^{t-1-a}.$$

It turns out that the structure of champion numbers for the arithmetic function $x \mapsto \Psi_t(x)/x$ is much easier to understand than that of $x \mapsto \sigma(x)/x$, the super abundant numbers. They are exactly the so-called primorial numbers (product of first consecutive primes). We prove that, in order to maximize the ratio R_t it is enough to consider its value at primorial integers. Once this reduction is made, bounding above unconditionally R_t is easy by using classical lemmas on partial eulerian products. We conclude the article by some results on t -free integers $N \geq N_n$, valid for t varying slowly with N .

II. REDUCTION TO PRIMORIAL NUMBERS

Define the primorial number N_n of index n as the product of the first n primes

$$N_n = \prod_{k=1}^n p_k,$$

so that $N_0 = 1, N_1 = 2, N_2 = 6, \dots$ and so on. The primorial numbers (OEIS sequence A002110 [11]) play the role here of superabundant numbers in [12] or primorials in [8]. They are champion numbers (ie left to right maxima) of the function $x \mapsto \Psi_t(x)/x$:

$$\frac{\Psi_t(m)}{m} < \frac{\Psi_t(n)}{n} \text{ for any } m < n. \quad (1)$$

We give a rigorous proof of this fact.

Proposition 1: The primorial numbers and their multiples are exactly the champion numbers of the function $x \mapsto \Psi_t(x)/x$.

Proof: The proof is by induction on n . The induction hypothesis H_n is that the statement is true up to N_n . Sloane sequence A002110 begins $1, 2, 4, 6, \dots$ so that H_2 is true. Assume H_n true. Let $N_n \leq m < N_{n+1}$ denote a generic integer. The prime divisors of m are $\leq p_n$. Therefore $\Psi_t(m)/m \leq \Psi_t(N_n)/N_n$ with equality iff m is a multiple of N_n . Further $\Psi_t(N_n)/N_n < \Psi_t(N_{n+1})/N_{n+1}$. The proof of H_{n+1} follows. ■

In this section we reduce the maximization of $R_t(n)$ over all integers n to the maximization over primorials.

Proposition 2: Let n be an integer ≥ 2 . For any m in the range $N_n \leq m < N_{n+1}$ one has $R_t(m) < R_t(N_n)$.

Proof: Like in the preceding proof we have

$$\Psi_t(m)/m \leq \Psi_t(N_n)/N_n.$$

Since $0 < \log \log N_n \leq \log \log m$, the result follows. ■

III. Ψ_t AT PRIMORIAL NUMBERS

We begin by an easy application of Mertens formula.

Proposition 3: For n going to ∞ we have

$$\lim R_t(N_n) = \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(t)}.$$

Proof: Writing $1 + 1/p = (1 - 1/p^2)/(1 - 1/p)$ in the definition of $\Psi(n)$ we can combine the Eulerian product for $\zeta(t)$ with Mertens formula

$$\prod_{p \leq x} (1 - 1/p)^{-1} \sim e^\gamma \log(x)$$

to obtain

$$\Psi(N_n) \sim \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(t)} \log(p_n).$$

Now the Prime Number Theorem [6, Th. 6, Th. 420] shows that $x \sim \theta(x)$ for x large, where $\theta(x)$ stands for Chebyshev's first summatory function:

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p.$$

This shows that, taking $x = p_n$ we have

$$p_n \sim \theta(p_n) = \log(N_n).$$

The result follows. ■

This motivates the search for explicit upper bounds on $R_t(N_n)$ of the form $\frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(t)}(1 + o(1))$. In that direction we have the following bound.

Proposition 4: For n large enough to have $p_n \geq 20000$, we have

$$\frac{\Psi_t(N_n)}{N_n} \leq \frac{\exp(\gamma + 2/p_n)}{\zeta(t)} \left(\log \log N_n + \frac{1.1253}{\log p_n} \right).$$

We prepare for the proof of the preceding Proposition by some Lemmas. First an upper bound on a partial Eulerian product from [13, (3.30) p.70].

Lemma 1: For $x \geq 2$, we have

$$\prod_{p \leq x} (1 - 1/p)^{-1} \leq e^\gamma (\log x + \frac{1}{\log x}).$$

Next an upper bound on the tail of the Eulerian product for $\zeta(t)$.

Lemma 2: For $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\prod_{p > p_n} (1 - 1/p^t)^{-1} \leq \exp(2/p_n).$$

Proof: Use Lemma 6.4 in [3] with $x = p_n$. Bound $\frac{t}{t-1}x^{1-t}$ above by $2/x$. ■

Lemma 3: For $n \geq 2263$, we have

$$\log p_n < \log \log N_n + \frac{0.1253}{\log p_n}.$$

Proof: If $n \geq 2263$, then $p_n \geq 20000$. By [13], we know then that

$$\log N_n > p_n \left(1 - \frac{1}{8p_n} \right).$$

On taking log's we obtain

$$\log \log N_n > \log p_n - \frac{0.1253}{p_n},$$

upon using

$$\log\left(1 - \frac{x}{8}\right) > -0.1253x$$

for x small enough. In particular $x < 1/20000$ is enough. ■

We are now ready for the proof of Proposition 4.

Proof:

Write

$$\frac{\Psi_t(N_n)}{N_n} = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 - 1/p_k^t}{1 - 1/p_k} = \frac{\prod_{p > p_n} (1 - 1/p^t)^{-1}}{\zeta(t)} \prod_{p \leq p_n} (1 - 1/p)^{-1}$$

and use both Lemmas to derive

$$\frac{\Psi_t(N_n)}{N_n} \leq \frac{\exp(\gamma + 2/p_n)}{\zeta(t)} \left(\log p_n + \frac{1}{\log p_n} \right).$$

Now we get rid of the first log in the RHS by Lemma 3.

The result follows. ■

So, armed with this powerful tool, we derive the following significant Corollaries.
For convenience let

$$f(n) = (1 + \frac{1.1253}{\log p_n \log \log N_n}).$$

Corollary 1: Let $n_0 = 2263$. Let $n_1(t)$ denote the least $n \geq n_0$ such that $e^{2/p_n} f(n) < \zeta(t)$. For $n \geq n_1(t)$ we have $R_t(N_n) < e^\gamma$.

Proof:

Let $n \geq n_0$. We need to check that

$$\exp(2/p_n)(1 + \frac{1.1253}{\log p_n \log \log N_n}) \leq \zeta(t).$$

which, for fixed t holds for n large enough. Indeed $\zeta(t) > 1$ and the LHS goes monotonically to 1^+ for n large. ■

We give a numerical illustration of Corollary 1 in Table 1.

t	$n_1(t)$	$N_{n_1(t)}$
3	10	6.5×10^9
4	24	2.4×10^{34}
5	79	4.1×10^{163}
6	509	5.8×10^{1551}
7	10 596	2.5×10^{48337}

TABLE I: The numbers in Corollary 1.

We can extend this Corollary to all integers $\geq n_0$ by using the reduction of preceding section.

Corollary 2: For all $N \geq N_n$ such that $n \geq n_1(t)$ we have $R_t(N) < e^\gamma$.

Proof: Combine Corollary 1 with Proposition 2. ■

We are now in a position to derive the main result of this note.

Theorem 1: If N is a 7-free integer, then $\sigma(N) < Ne^\gamma \log \log N$.

Proof: If N is $\geq N_n$ with $n \geq n_1(7)$, then the above upper bound holds for $\Psi_7(N)$ by Corollary 2, hence for $\sigma(N)$ by the remark in the Introduction. If not, we invoke the results of [2], who checked Robin inequality for $5040 < N \leq 10^{10^{10}}$, and observe that all 7-free integers are > 5040 . ■

IV. VARYING t

We begin with an easy Lemma.

Lemma 4: Let t be a real variable. For t large, we have $\zeta(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^t} + o(\frac{1}{2^t})$.

Proof: By definition, for $t > 1$ we may write

$$\zeta(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^t}$$

so that

$$\zeta(t) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2^t}.$$

In the other direction, we write

$$\zeta(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^t} + \frac{1}{3^t} + \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^t},$$

and compare the remainder of the series expansion of the ζ function with an integral:

$$\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^t} < \int_3^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^t} = \frac{3}{(t-1)3^t} = O\left(\frac{1}{3^t}\right).$$

The result follows. ■

We can derive a result when t grows slowly with n .

Theorem 2: Let S_n be a sequence of integers such that $S_n \geq N_n$ for n large, and such that S_n is t -free with $t = o(\log \log n)$. For n large enough, Robin inequality holds for S_n .

Proof: For Corollary 2 to hold we need

$$e^{2/p_n} f(n) < \zeta(t)$$

to hold, or, taking logs, the exact bound

$$2/p_n + \log f(n) < \log \zeta(t),$$

or up to $o(1)$ terms

$$2/p_n + \frac{1.1253}{\log p_n \log \log N_n} \leq \log \zeta(t).$$

In the LHS, the dominant term is of order $1/(\log p_n)^2$, since, like in the proof of Proposition 3, we may write $p_n \sim \log N_n$. Now $p_n \sim n \log n$ by [6, Th. 8], entailing $\log p_n \sim \log n$ and $(\log p_n)^2 \sim (\log n)^2$. In the RHS, with the hypothesis made on t we have, by Lemma 4, the estimate $\log \zeta(t) \sim \frac{1}{2t}$. The result follows after comparing logarithms of both sides. ■

V. CONCLUSION

In this article we have proposed a technique to check Robin inequality for t -free integers for some values of t . The main idea has been to investigate the complex structure of the divisor function σ through the sequence of Dedekind psi functions ψ_t . The latter are simpler for the following reasons

- $\Psi_t(n)$ solely depends on the prime divisors of n and not on their multiplicity
- the champions of Ψ_t are the primorials instead of the colossally abundant numbers
- Ψ_t is easier to bound for n large because of connections with Eulerian products

Further, $\sigma(n) \leq \Psi_t(n)$ for t -free integers n . We checked Robin inequality for t -free integers for $t = 6, 7$ and $t = o(\log \log n)$. It is an interesting and difficult open problem to apply Theorem 2 to superabundant numbers or colossally abundant numbers for instance. We do not believe it is possible. New ideas are required to prove Robin inequality in full generality.

REFERENCES

- [1] Peter Borwein, Stephen Choi, Brendan Rooney and Andrea Weirathmueller *The Riemann hypothesis. A resource for the aficionado and virtuoso alike*. CMS Books in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [2] Keith Briggs, Abundant numbers and the Riemann hypothesis. *Experiment. Math.* 15 (2006), no. 2, 251–256.
- [3] YoungJu Choie, Nicolas Lichiardopol, Pieter Moree, Patrick Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* 19 (2007), no. 2, 357–372.
- [4] J. A. Csirik, M. Zieve and J. Wetherell, On the genera of $X_0(N)$, unpublished manuscript (2001); available online at <http://www.csirik.net/papers.html>
- [5] Brian J. Conrey, The Riemann hypothesis. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 50 (2003), no. 3, 341–353.
- [6] G.H. Hardy, E.M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford (1979).
- [7] Gilles Lachaud, L'hypothèse de Riemann : le Graal des mathématiciens. *La Recherche Hors-Série* no 20, August 2005.
- [8] Jean-Louis Nicolas, Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler. *J. Number Theory* 17 (1983), no. 3, 375–388.
- [9] Patrick Solé, Michel Planat, Extreme values of the Dedekind Ψ function, <http://fr.arxiv.org/abs/1011.1825>
- [10] Michel Planat, Riemann hypothesis from the Dedekind psi function, hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/docs/00/52/64/54/PDF/RiemannHyp.pdf
- [11] www.research.att.com/njas/sequences/
- [12] G. Robin, Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 63 (1984), 187–213.
- [13] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers. *Illinois J. Math.* 6 (1962), 64–94.